#### WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER

LOS PARTE COM ATE FOR

Washington, DC New York London Paris

April 16, 1997

APR 1 6 1997

TO THE COURSE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE COURSE OF THE COURSE

And the second of the second

Mr. William F. Caton Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte Presentation in CC Docket No. 96-45 Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; CC Docket Nos. 96-262, 94-1, 91-213 Access Charge Reform

Dear Secretary Caton:

As a follow-up to the meeting on April 1, 1997, between representatives of Time Warner Communications Holdings, Inc. ("TWComm") and Thomas Boasberg, Legal Advisor to Chairman Reed E. Hundt, attached herewith is a study entitled Defining the Universal Service Affordability Requirement: Community Income As a Factor in Universal Service Funding.

As discussed at the meeting, this study analyzes median household income data for each Census Block Group (CBG), as obtained from the Census Bureau, and compares such data with the results from one of the cost proxy models submitted to the Commission to determine high-cost fund requirements. High-cost funding requirements were determined at three revenue benchmark levels (i.e., \$20, \$30, \$40). The revenue benchmark reflects an average revenue per line considering basic service rates and revenue from discretionary services, and represents a level, which if below the relevant costs, would determine the amount of high-cost funding for a given geographic area, such as a CBG.

No. of Copies rec'd CA

Three Lafayette Centre 1155 21st Street, NW Washington, DC 20036-3384 202 328 8000

Telex: RCA 229800 WU 89-2762 Fax: 202 887 8979 Mr. William F. Caton April 16, 1997 Page 2

The results show that high-income/high-cost CBGs account for a significant portion of potential high-cost fund requirements. For example, at a \$20 revenue benchmark, CBGs above the 70th percentile of income in each state would account for approximately \$4.5 billion, or 30 percent, of high-cost fund requirements. At a \$30 revenue benchmark, CBGs above the 70th percentile would account for \$1.8 billion, or 25 percent, of the requirement.

TWComm is hopeful that this study will provide useful information for the Commission as it implements the universal service provisions of the 1996 Telecommunications Act. Please include the study along with this cover letter in the records of the above-referenced proceedings (Docket Nos. 96-45, 96-262, 94-1 and 91-213). As required by Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, enclosed are eight (8) copies of this cover letter and the study, two copies for each docket to which they relate. Please let me know if you have any questions.

<del>Sin</del>cerely,

Thomas Jones

Enclosures

cc: Thomas Boasberg

## DEFINING THE UNIVERSAL SERVICE "AFFORDABILITY" REQUIREMENT

#### Community Income As a Factor in Universal Service Funding\*

The extent to which basic local telephone service is "affordable" to an individual consumer is critically dependent upon that consumer's relative income and wealth.

The Telecommunications Act of 1996 explicitly requires that "affordability" be included as a consideration in the development of a comprehensive universal service support mechanism: "Quality and rates — Quality services should be available at just, reasonable, and affordable rates." Taking its cue from the legislation, the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service (Joint Board), in its November 8, 1996 Recommended Decision on Universal Service policy, expressly concluded that "[c]ustomer income level is a factor that should be examined when addressing affordability."<sup>2</sup>

The extent to which any given product or service is "affordable" obviously depends heavily upon the individual consumer's income and wealth. Thus, in developing a universal service support mechanism that conforms to the statutory requirement that basic local telephone service be "affordable," household income should somehow be included among the criteria under which the extent of universal service support is to be determined.

In fact, most states and the FCC currently apply income criteria in determining eligibility for income-targeted support programs such as "lifeline" and "Link-up America." For these programs, income (and other eligibility metrics) are determined on a customer-by-customer basis. These income-related funding schemes need not be affected by the creation of a formal universal service support mechanism, although the amount of such customer-specific support might change.

Both the FCC (in its March 8, 1996 NPRM) and the Joint Board (in its November 8, 1996 Recommended Decision) have advocated the use of so-called "cost proxy models" as a means for efficiently estimating the per-line incremental cost and the associated support requirement for a given geographical area.<sup>3</sup> The various cost proxy models that have been offered examine costs at a highly granular level, in most cases with respect to geographic areas known as "Census Block Groups" (CBGs). A CBG is a demographic unit developed by the US Census Bureau that is described as

<sup>\*</sup> This paper was prepared on behalf of Time Warner Communications, with the assistance of Dr. Lee L. Selwyn, Susan M. Baldwin, and Melissa N. Markley, respectively, President, Vice President, and Analyst of Economics and Technology, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts 02108.

<sup>1. 47</sup> U.S.C. § 254(b)(1). Emphasis supplied.

<sup>2.</sup> In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Recommended Decision, CC Docket No. 96-45, released November 8, 1996 (hereinafter "Recommended Decision"), at ¶ 129.

<sup>3.</sup> Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Order Establishing Joint Board, CC Docket No. 96-45, released March 8, 1996 at ¶¶ 31-34; Recommended Decision, at ¶¶ 7, 184-185.

#### Defining the Universal Service "Affordability" Requirement

including "usually between 250 and 550 housing units, with the ideal size being 400 housing units." There are approximately 200,000 CBGs nationwide. The CBG is a basic unit of Census aggregation, and is generally designed to embrace an area containing a relatively homogeneous population (with respect to geography, demographics, etc.) Thus, the *median* household income for a given CBG is generally representative of the *individual* household incomes within that CBG.

While the various cost proxy models undertake to simulate the structure of the local telephone service plant, and in so doing to estimate the per-access line cost of local telephone service on a forward-looking basis, none of the models that have been submitted in this proceeding consider the *income* of the households that are being examined as to their eligibility for high cost support. Significantly, however, such CBG-specific income data is routinely collected and reported by the Census Bureau, and can provide an additional benchmark against which the support requirement can be evaluated. The purpose of this study is to provide such data and examine the impact that income considerations can have on universal service funding requirements.

Subsidization of basic local telephone service without regard to income levels will impose inefficient economic burdens across all segments of the US telecommunications industry.

Failure to consider and apply an income test is inconsistent with the statutory requirement regarding "affordability," and is inefficient as a matter of economic policy. Subsidizing consumers who can fully afford to pay the cost of their telephone service — and whose decision to take service is unaffected by the presence of such a subsidy — serves only to impose significant costs and economic burdens upon other segments of the economy while producing no offsetting economic or social benefit. Among other things, a funding obligation that is larger than that which is necessary to achieve the universal service goal will serve to increase the costs of and barriers to entry, suppress demand for price-elastic services, and diminish the prospects for effective competition overall. The magnitude of these costs may be considerable. As demonstrated below, approximately 20-30% of the aggregate universal service funding requirement for high-cost areas (depending upon the level of the revenue benchmark) could be eliminated if the support were limited to households with incomes below the 70th income percentile, for example. This could mean that up to \$4.5 billion in support burden might be avoided annually if such a policy were adopted.

Table 1 below provides examples of just of few of the numerous high-income areas that would receive subsidies even at a \$40 per month support level. Appendix A provides additional examples of high-income communities in each of the states that would receive high-cost support with no incomedependent affordability criterion incorporated into the design of a universal service support program.

That high-income areas also exhibit high-cost characteristics should not be unexpected. Wealthy suburban communities are frequently characterized by large multi-acre lots and hilly terrains. As relatively low density areas, the cost proxies for these CBGs are often well above the average.

<sup>4. 1990</sup> Census of Population and Housing, Summary Population and Housing Characteristics, New York, at A-3 to A-5.

Table 1

High-Cost Support Would Flow to Wealthy Communities
Under Pending USF Proposals:

#### Illustrative List of Areas Eligible for High-Cost Support

Community	Median Household Income	BCM2 Proxy Cost/Line	Annual Subsidy		
			\$20 level	\$30 level	\$40 level
Bedford, New York	\$120,487	\$51.11	\$145,221	\$98,541	\$51,861
Boca Grande, Florida	\$131,981	\$43.00	\$16,008	\$9,048	\$2,088
Casper North, Wyoming	\$102,264 .	\$213.95	\$4,655	\$4,415	\$4,175
Corpus Christi, Texas	\$126,113	\$40.85	\$24,520	\$12,760	\$1,000
Dover, Massachusetts	\$104,977	\$40.94	\$137,953	\$72,073	\$6,193
Greenwich, Connecticut	\$150,001	\$43.11	\$140,047	\$79,447	\$18,847
Grosse Pointe Farms, Michigan	\$150,001	\$42.97	\$38,314	\$21,634	\$4,954
Hilton Head, South Carolina	\$118,422	\$34.74	\$7,252	\$2,332	\$0
Lake Wales, Florida	\$134,408	\$57.02	\$43,536	\$31,776	\$20,016
Los Alamos, New Mexico	\$81,282	\$78.69	\$372,564	\$309,084	\$245,604
McLean, Virginia	\$126,101	\$34.15	\$101,710	\$29,830	\$0
Mercer Island, Washington	\$89,540	\$40.58	\$27,413	\$14,093	\$773
Nashville-Davidson, Tennessee	\$123,582	\$37.79	\$56,786	\$24,866	\$0
Riverside, Missouri	\$150,001	\$95.03	\$11,705	\$10,145	\$8,585
Roswell-Alpha Retta, Georgia	\$150,001	\$38.78	\$49,805	\$23,285	\$0
Scarsdale, New York	\$119,342	\$40.61	\$59,604	\$30,684	\$1,764
Simi Valley, California	\$125,400	\$57.21	\$158,961	\$116,241	\$73,521
Vail, Colorado	\$102,941	\$66.08	\$37,601	\$29,441	\$21,281
Sources: BCM2, 1990 Census of	f Population and	Housing Sur	nmary Tane Fi	ile 3A.	

Sources: BCM2, 1990 Census of Population and Housing Summary Tape File 3A.

#### Defining the Universal Service "Affordability" Requirement

#### Methodological Approach

The BCM2 with the unadjusted default values was used to compute the cost of providing basic local exchange service in each of the nation's more than 200,000 census block groups (CBGs). These cost results were compared with three different monthly revenue benchmarks — \$20, \$30 and \$40 — in order to estimate the universal service funding (USF) requirement on a state-by-state basis (i.e., to generate the "default" results of the BCM2). This is the "baseline" case — i.e., the scenario whereby all households in high-cost areas would be eligible for subsidization, regardless of their income level.

Because the BCM2 does not include any of the income data from the Census data base for the CBGs whose proxy costs the Model undertakes to evaluate, this data was obtained from the Census Bureau and integrated with the BCM2 data base. Median household income was selected as an appropriate metric from the income data contained in the Census CBG data base. The purpose of the analysis was to overlay CBG income and CBG cost. Three different possible income guidelines for determining high-cost eligibility were defined and analyzed:

- 1. Only those CBGs with incomes below the 50th percentile (i.e., below the median income level) for each state would be eligible for high-cost support.<sup>7</sup>
- 2. Only those CBGs with incomes below the 70th percentile for each state would be eligible for high-cost support (i.e., the highest 30% would be ineligible).
- 3. Only those CBGs with incomes below the 90th percentile for each state would be eligible for high-cost support (i.e., the highest 10% would be ineligible).

While the median household income for the US as a whole is \$30,056, there is considerable variation in income levels from state to state. For example, Connecticut has the highest median

<sup>5.</sup> Use of the BCM2 Model in no way implies endorsement of this model for determination of high-cost support funding. In fact, there is no reason to expect the pattern or overall magnitude of the results of this study to be substantially different if another cost proxy model is adopted. The BCM2 is designed in such a way as to a permit the modification of certain "user-specified" values. While the BCM2 default values were not revised for this analysis, their use does not in any sense constitute agreement with these values.

<sup>6. 1990</sup> Census of Population and Housing Summary Tape File 3A. These data provide the most recent income statistics available from the Census Bureau. Mean and median household incomes have risen in nominal terms from 1990 to 1995, (see Current Population Reports, Series P-60, Income Statistics Branch/HHES Division, U.S. Bureau of the Census) and therefore there is a temporal mismatch between the costs examined (which are based upon estimates made in 1997) and the incomes examined (which were reported in 1990). One would expect, therefore, that the "actual" average incomes are greater than those reported in 1990. This mismatch of years does not influence the results of our analysis because we examine the income stratification rather than the income level, but it may influence any judgments that the FCC may make about the appropriate income guidelines for a high-cost fund.

<sup>7.</sup> Because the analysis relies upon a ranking of the CBGs, the 50th, 70th, and 90th percentiles do not include 50%, 70% and 90% of the households, but rather 50%, 70%, and 90% of the CBGs.

#### Defining the Universal Service "Affordability" Requirement

household income (\$41,721), while Mississippi has the lowest (\$20,136). Since income levels tend to bear at least some relationship with the cost of living in a particular area (such as a state), the income distribution within each state was used to identify those CBGs falling below the three income thresholds (50th, 70th and 90th percentiles, respectively). For computational purposes, the 50%, 30%, and 10% of the CBGs, respectively, with the highest incomes, were identified to provide a reasonable approximation of comparing CBG incomes to the statewide income that corresponds with the 50th, 70th and 90th percentiles.

It should also be noted that all of the average income figures are biased downward because of the way the US Census Bureau treats incomes over \$150,000. The Census Bureau places all those with incomes above \$150,000 into the same bracket. Because of this grouping, a household with a \$1-million income is given the same statistical weighting as one with a \$150,000 income. Thus, very high incomes cannot be accurately captured in the analysis. Taking this fact into consideration would mean that many states and individual CBGs are even wealthier than they are represented to be by the Census data. This fact does not, however, affect the results because the CBGs in this income bracket would be assigned to the top percentiles, regardless of the "correct" absolute median average. However, it is relevant to an assessment of affordability and to the design of fair income guidelines.

The aggregate nationwide results for each of the three threshold percentiles (70<sup>th</sup>; 50<sup>th</sup>; 90<sup>th</sup>) and for the three revenue benchmark levels (\$20; \$30; \$40) are summarized in Tables 2-4 below.

<sup>8.</sup> Furthermore, as noted previously, the incomes are those that were reported in 1990.

Table 2

High-Cost Support for CBGs with Household Incomes
In the Highest 30% in Each State

Support Level	Aggregate Annual High Cost Subsidy							
	Annual USF Subsidy to All CBGs under an Income-Blind Approach	Annual Subsidy going to CBGs with Highest 30% of Household Income	Percent of Total Subsidy going to High- Income CBGs					
\$20	\$14,664,182,818	\$4,468,284,015	30.5%					
\$30	\$7,424,505,733	\$1,765,844,278	23.8%					
\$40	\$4,258,662,622	\$780,669,907	18.3%					

Sources: BCM2, 1990 Census of Population and Housing Summary Tape File 3A

Table 3

High-Cost Support for CBGs with Household Incomes
Above the Median Level in Each State

	Aggregate Annual High Cost Subsidy							
Support Level	Annual USF Subsidy to All CBGs under an Income-Blind Approach	Annual Subsidy going to CBGs with Above-Median Household Income	Percent of Total Subsidy going to High-Income CBGs					
\$20	\$14,664,182,818	\$7,900,816,877	53.9%					
\$30	\$7,424,505,733	\$3,563,607,287	48.0%					
\$40	\$4,258,662,622	\$1,807,377,281	42.4%					

Table 4

High-Cost Support for CBGs with Household Incomes
In the Highest 10% in Each State

	Aggregate Annual High Cost Subsidy							
Support Level	Annual USF Subsidy to All CBGs under an Income-Blind Approach	Annual Subsidy going to CBGs with Highest 10% of Household Income	Percent of Total Subsidy going to High- Income CBGs					
\$20	\$14,664,182,818	\$1,312,135,581	9.0%					
\$30	\$7,424,505,733	\$412,468,003	5.6%					
\$40	\$4,258,662,622	\$136,070,562	3.2%					

The USF support requirements for each state are shown in Appendix B.

#### Conclusion

This study demonstrates that consideration of affordability as defined by income levels can have a significant impact on the size of universal service funding for high-cost areas. For example, Table 2 above shows that at a \$20 revenue benchmark, CBGs with median income levels among the highest 30% account for 30%, or \$4.5 billion, of the high-cost funding requirement. At a revenue benchmark of \$30, CBGs in the highest 30% of income levels account for nearly 25%, or \$1.8 billion.

The significance of these results suggest that policy makers need to consider such data in designing an economically efficient universal service program that properly considers the concept of affordability in accordance with statutory requirements.

Appendix A USF SUPPORT FOR SELECTED HIGH COST, HIGH INCOME LEVELS

Sources: BCM2, 1990 Census of Population and Housing Summary Tape File 3A

#### USF Support for Selected High Cost, High Income CBGs

State	Town	<b>Monthly Cost</b>	# HHe	\$40 support	\$30 suppor	\$20 suppor	Income
			7 11118	TTO GRAPOII	And aghbou	enhhou	moone
AL	Auburn	\$60.82	6	\$1,499	\$2,219	\$2,939	\$150,001
AL	Mtn. Brook	\$39.87	165		\$19,543		\$127,292
AL	Pike Road	\$46.78	63		\$12,686		\$112,072
				00,000	<b>V.</b>	<del></del>	ψ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ΑZ	Paradise Valley	\$37.01	272	\$0	\$22,881	\$55,521	\$137,299
AZ	Phoenix (106), Paradise Valley (157)	\$51.98			\$69,369	\$100,929	
							,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
CA	Alamo	\$62.93	147	\$40,449	\$58,089	\$75,729	\$134,883
CA	Aiamo	\$87.66	383	\$219,045	\$265,005	\$310,965	\$122,478
CA	Calabasas	\$53.54			\$77,682		\$100,760
CA	Carmei	\$56.34			\$110,944	\$153,064	\$101,854
CA	Coto de Caza	\$43.62			\$59,329	\$102,889	\$100,765
CA	Diablo Range	\$75.57	41	\$17,500	\$22,420	\$27,340	\$150,001
	Lafayette (11), Moraga (105), Central						
CA	Contra Costa (30)	\$57.56			\$48,285		\$117,064
CA	Laguna Beach (160), South Coast (548)	\$44.41	708	\$37,467	\$122,427		
CA	Los Aitos	\$42.75		\$6,864	\$31,824		\$123,670
CA	Los Angeles	\$45.41		\$11,036	\$31,436		\$105,511
CA	Los Gatos	\$45.06		\$12,205	\$36,325		\$107,582
CA	Los Gatos (176), San Jose (111)	\$54.60		\$50,282	\$84,722		
CA	Monterey	\$41.35		\$275	\$2,315		\$150,001
CA	(15)	\$53.20			\$67,651		\$113,421
CA	Saratoga (138), San Jose (61)	\$51.58		\$27,653	\$51,533		\$111,557
CA	Simi Valley	\$57.21		\$73,521	\$116,241	\$158,961	
CA	Thousand Oaks	\$76.74			\$72,914		\$100,472
CA	West Santa Clara	\$80.12		\$12,999	\$16,239		\$138,093
CA	West Santa Clara	\$84.43		\$28,791	\$35,271		\$113,283
CA	Woodside	\$64.93	58	\$17,351	\$24,311	\$31,2/1	\$106,514
co	Cherry Hills Village	\$40.63	179	\$1,353	\$22,833	\$44.212	£112 £21
<del>co</del>	South Aurora	\$45.41	290		\$22,633 \$53,627		\$113,621 \$98,331
co	Vail	\$66.08	68	\$21,281	\$29,441		\$102,941
<del>                                      </del>	Veli	\$00.00	00	Ψ21,201	Ψ23, <del>11</del> 1	\$37,001	Ψ102,3 <del>-1</del> 1
СТ	Fairfield	\$45.47	238	\$15,622	\$44,182	\$72.742	\$120,607
СТ	Fairfield	\$48.02	237	\$22,809	\$51,249		\$114,074
CT	Greenwich	\$48.90	177	\$18,904	\$40,144	\$61,384	
CT	Greenwich	\$44.77	436		\$77,277	\$129,597	
СТ	Greenwich	\$43.11	505	\$18,847	\$79,447	\$140,047	
CT	Greenwich	\$43.13	486		\$76,574	\$134,894	
СТ	Greenwich	\$46.15	299		\$57,946		\$113,910
СТ	New Canaan	\$46.07	334		\$64,409		
СТ	New Canaan	\$56.79	144		\$46,293		\$130,978
СТ	New Canaan	\$43.64	401	\$17,516	\$65,636	\$113,756	
СТ	New Canaan	\$45.33	522		\$96,027	\$158,667	
CT	New Canaan	\$46.40	222	\$17,050	\$43,690	\$70,330	\$117,182
СТ	New Canaan (469), Darien (10)	\$43.51	479	\$20,175	\$77,655	\$135,135	
СТ	Weston	\$59.13	107	\$24,563	\$37,403		\$142,866
СТ	Wilton	\$46.88	311	\$25,676	\$62,996	\$100,316	
CT	Wilton	\$43.10	307	\$11,420	\$48,260		\$109,343
CT	Wilton	\$44.71	578	\$32,669	\$102,029	\$171,389	\$105,432
<u> </u>							
DC	Washington DC	\$31.92	83	\$0	\$1,912		\$134,792
DC	Washington DC	\$29.89	128	\$0	\$0	\$15,191	\$104,498

Fig.   Indian Creek Village	State	Town	Monthly Cost	# HHs	\$40 support	\$30 support	\$20 support	Income
Fig.   Indian Creek Village								
FL Jupter Island	FL							
FL Kendal-Perrine								
Fil. Lake Wales	님							
North Key Largo								
GA   Norcross   \$47.01   51   \$4.280   \$10.410   \$16.530   \$139.375							\$43,536	\$134,408
GA Roswell-Alpharetta	FL_	North Key Largo	\$48.68	256	\$26,665	\$57,385	\$88,105	\$127,518
GA Roswell-Alpharetta	-	N	0.17.04		44.000	212 112		
GA Sandy Springs         \$42.33         173         \$4.837         \$25,597         \$43.575         \$150.001           GA Sandy Springs         \$34.90         33         \$0         \$15.940         \$55,000         \$150.001           GA Sandy Springs         \$38.03         145         \$0         \$13,972         \$31,372         \$152,500           GA Sandy Springs         \$38.03         145         \$0         \$13,972         \$31,500         \$150,001           GA Sandy Springs         \$38.03         145         \$0         \$13,972         \$31,500         \$150,001           HI Honolulu         \$33.51         1,076         \$0         \$45,321         \$174,441         \$111,017           AB Bloomfield         \$81.07         22         \$5,562         \$82,022         \$10,842         \$102,500           A Sioux City         \$40.30         218         \$785         \$26,945         \$53,105         \$89,173           L Wilage (148)         \$45.03         157         \$9,477         \$28,317         \$47,157         \$137,528           L Giencoe Village         \$33.00         411         \$0         \$39,486         \$88,776         \$150,001           L Lake Forest         \$32.20         246         \$0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Sandy Springs								
GA         Sandy Springs         \$38,03         145         \$0         \$13,972         \$31,372         \$132,960           GA         St. Simons         \$56,56         194         \$38,598         \$61,876         \$85,158         \$150,001           HI         Honolulu         \$33,51         1,076         \$0         \$45,321         \$174,441         \$111,017           A         Bloomfield         \$61,07         22         \$5,562         \$82,022         \$10,842         \$102,500           IL         ASIOUX City         \$40,30         218         \$785         \$28,945         \$83,103         \$89,173           IL         Barrington Hills Village         \$52,61         165         \$24,968         \$44,768         \$64,568         \$114,115           Barrington Hills Village         \$330,00         411         \$0         \$39,477         \$28,317         \$47,167         \$150,001           L         Glencoe Village         \$330,00         411         \$0         \$39,468         \$88,776         \$150,001           L         Li Lake Forest         \$32,10         246         \$0         \$81,774         \$150,001           L         Li Lake Forest         \$341,17         222         \$3,117								
St. Simons								
Hill Honolulu \$33.51 1,076 \$0 \$45,321 \$174,441 \$111,017  A Bloomfield \$61.07 22 \$5,562 \$8,202 \$10,842 \$102,500  A Sioux City \$40.30 218 \$795 \$28,945 \$53,105 \$89,173  IL Barrington Hills Village \$52.61 165 \$24,968 \$44,768 \$64,568 \$114,115  Barrington Hills Village \$33.60 \$157 \$28,968 \$44,768 \$84,568 \$114,115  Barrington Hills Village \$38,00 \$115 \$24,968 \$44,768 \$84,568 \$114,115  L Village (148) \$45.03 \$157 \$28,947 \$28,317 \$47,157 \$137,526  IL Glencos Village \$330.00 \$411 \$0 \$39,456 \$88,778 \$150,001  IL Glencos Village \$33.00 \$411 \$0 \$39,456 \$88,778 \$150,001  IL Lake Forest \$32.10 245 \$0 \$8,174 \$35,574 \$150,001  IL Lake Forest \$341.17 222 \$3,117 \$29,757 \$98,37 \$125,000  Car Brook Village \$33.61 \$151 \$0 \$9,298 \$27,416 \$150,001  IN Carmel \$41.19 61 \$871 \$39,191 \$15,511 \$150,001  IN Carmel \$41.19 61 \$871 \$39,191 \$15,511 \$100,201  IN Indianapolis \$33.40 162 \$0 \$18,274 \$37,714 \$102,811  IN Indianapolis \$33.23 \$32 \$0 \$34,764 \$77,004 \$100,284  KS Olathe \$51.49 108 \$14,615 \$27,335 \$40,095 \$103,263  KS Overland Park (7), Oxford (48) \$54.53 555 \$9,590 \$16,190 \$22,790 \$130,125  KY Gienview Hills \$33.17 400 \$0 \$5,516 \$53,616 \$108,877  LA East Baton Rouge \$36,78 300 \$0 \$24,408 \$60,408 \$95,518  LA New Orleans \$27.68 223 \$0 \$0 \$3,4744 \$37,744 \$39,574  AND Over \$40,94 \$49,8 \$3,193 \$72,073 \$137,953 \$104,977  MA Dover \$40,94 \$49,8 \$3,193 \$72,073 \$137,953 \$100,457  MA Dover \$40,94 \$49,8 \$1,93 \$72,073 \$137,953 \$100,457  MA Dover \$40,94 \$49,8 \$1,93 \$22,799 \$100,456  MA Southborough \$52,98 \$22 \$40,809 \$72,249 \$103,689 \$98,635  MD Clarksville \$36,33 193 \$0 \$14,680 \$37,202 \$1150,001  MD Clarksville \$45,56 56 \$3,378 \$0 \$39,729 \$99,729 \$150,001  MI Clarksville \$36,33 193 \$0 \$14,680 \$37,202 \$1150,001  MI Bloomfield \$36,97 475 \$0 \$39,729 \$99,729 \$150,001  MI Bloomfield \$36,97 475 \$0 \$39,729 \$99,729 \$150,001  MI Bloomfield \$36,97 475 \$90 \$30, \$30,729 \$99,729 \$150,001  MI Bloomfield \$36,97 475 \$90 \$29,401 \$37,971 \$139,393 \$100,001  MI Bloomfield \$36,97 475 \$90 \$22,179 \$99,729 \$150,001								
A Bloomfield \$81.07 22 \$5,562 \$8,202 \$10,842 \$102,500 A Sloux City \$40.30 218 \$785 \$26,945 \$83,105 \$89,173 L Barrington Hille Village \$52.61 165 \$24,968 \$44,768 \$84,568 \$114,115 Barrington Hille Village (9), Inverness L Village (148) \$45.03 157 \$9,477 \$28,317 \$47,157 \$137,528 L Glencoe Village \$33.00 411 \$0 339,456 \$88,778 \$150,001 L Glencoe Village \$33.00 411 \$0 339,456 \$88,778 \$150,001 L Glencoe Village \$33.21 \$245 \$0 \$0 \$24,444 \$81,844 \$150,001 L Glencoe Village \$33.21 \$245 \$0 \$0 \$24,444 \$81,844 \$150,001 L Glencoe Village \$33.21 \$245 \$0 \$8,174 \$150,001 L Glencoe Village \$33.17 \$222 \$3,117 \$23,757 \$59,397 \$125,000 L Gle Forest \$41.17 \$222 \$3,117 \$23,757 \$59,397 \$125,000 L Gle Forest \$41.19 \$22 \$3,117 \$23,757 \$59,397 \$125,000 L Gle Forest \$41.19 \$15 \$0 \$9,298 \$27,418 \$150,001 \$10,000 \$1	30	Gr. Sirions	\$30.30	134	\$30,390	\$01,070	460,106	\$150,001
A Bloomfield \$81.07 22 \$5,562 \$8,202 \$10,842 \$102,500 A Sloux City \$40.30 218 \$785 \$26,945 \$83,105 \$89,173 L Barrington Hille Village \$52.61 165 \$24,968 \$44,768 \$84,568 \$114,115 Barrington Hille Village (9), Inverness L Village (148) \$45.03 157 \$9,477 \$28,317 \$47,157 \$137,528 L Glencoe Village \$33.00 411 \$0 339,456 \$88,778 \$150,001 L Glencoe Village \$33.00 411 \$0 339,456 \$88,778 \$150,001 L Glencoe Village \$33.21 \$245 \$0 \$0 \$24,444 \$81,844 \$150,001 L Glencoe Village \$33.21 \$245 \$0 \$0 \$24,444 \$81,844 \$150,001 L Glencoe Village \$33.21 \$245 \$0 \$8,174 \$150,001 L Glencoe Village \$33.17 \$222 \$3,117 \$23,757 \$59,397 \$125,000 L Gle Forest \$41.17 \$222 \$3,117 \$23,757 \$59,397 \$125,000 L Gle Forest \$41.19 \$22 \$3,117 \$23,757 \$59,397 \$125,000 L Gle Forest \$41.19 \$15 \$0 \$9,298 \$27,418 \$150,001 \$10,000 \$1	ы	Hopolulu	\$33.51	1.076	\$O	\$45 321	\$174 441	\$111.017
A   Sioux City	-	1 lo lolaid	400.01	1,010	Ψ0	\$40,021	Ψ1/7,771	\$111,017
A   Sioux City	IA	Bioomfield	\$61.07	22	\$5 562	\$8 202	\$10.842	\$102 500
L Barrington Hills Village   \$52.61   165   \$24,968   \$44,768   \$64,568   \$114,115	IA							
Barrington Hille Village (9), Inverness   \$45.03   157   \$9,477   \$28,317   \$47,157   \$137,528   \$150,001	<del></del>		1		<b>4.55</b>	<del></del>	<del>+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + </del>	400,170
Barrington Hille Village (9), Inverness   \$45.03   157   \$9,477   \$28,317   \$47,157   \$137,528   \$150,001	IL	Barrington Hills Village	\$52.61	165	\$24,968	\$44,768	\$64.568	\$114.115
L Village (148)		Barrington Hills Village (9), Inverness			,	,		,
L Glencoe Village	IL		\$45.03	157	\$9,477	\$28,317	\$47,157	\$137,526
Lake Forest   \$33.47   295   \$0   \$28,444   \$61,844   \$150,001     Lake Forest   \$33.10   245   \$0   \$6,174   \$35,774   \$35,775   \$56,397   \$125,000     Lake Forest   \$41.17   222   \$3,117   \$29,757   \$56,397   \$125,000     Lake Forest   \$41.19   \$61   \$871   \$8,191   \$15,511   \$150,001     N Carmel   \$41.19   \$61   \$871   \$8,191   \$15,511   \$150,001     N Indianapolis   \$39.40   \$162   \$0   \$18,274   \$37,714   \$102,611     N Indianapolis   \$39.40   \$162   \$0   \$18,274   \$37,714   \$102,611     N Indianapolis   \$39.23   \$352   \$0   \$34,764   \$77,004   \$100,294     KS Olathe   \$51.49   \$108   \$14,615   \$27,335   \$40,055   \$103,263     KS Overland Park (7), Oxford (48)   \$54.53   \$55   \$9,590   \$16,190   \$22,790   \$130,125     KY Glenview Hills   \$31.17   \$400   \$0   \$5,616   \$53,616   \$108,677     LA East Batton Rouge   \$36.78   \$300   \$0   \$24,408   \$60,408   \$95,518     LA New Orleans   \$27.66   \$23   \$0   \$0   \$21,033   \$104,704     LA New Orleans   \$29.02   \$209   \$0   \$0   \$13,734   \$98,518     LA New Orleans   \$29.02   \$209   \$0   \$0   \$22,622   \$95,804     MA Dover   \$40,94   \$49   \$6,193   \$72,073   \$137,953   \$104,977     MA Dover   \$42,35   \$251   \$7,078   \$37,198   \$67,318   \$103,320     MA Harvard   \$47,63   \$399   \$35,617   \$327,198   \$67,318   \$103,320     MA Harvard   \$47,63   \$399   \$35,617   \$32,977   \$100,415     MA Lincoln   \$40,42   \$367   \$1,850   \$45,890   \$89,930   \$108,681     MA Southborough   \$52,98   \$26   \$40,809   \$72,249   \$103,689   \$98,930     MD Clarksville   \$45,56   \$6   \$3,736   \$10,456   \$17,176   \$150,001     MD Potomac   \$30,16   \$867   \$0   \$39,729   \$36,729   \$115,610     MD Potomac   \$30,16   \$867   \$0   \$39,729   \$36,729   \$150,001     MI Bloomfield   \$46,63   108   \$8,463   \$21,423   \$34,383   \$150,001     MI Bloomfield   \$46,63   108   \$8,463   \$21,423   \$34,383   \$150,001     MI Bloomfield   \$46,63   108   \$84,631   \$108   \$21,423   \$34,383   \$150,001     MI Grosse Point Shores Village   \$40,740   \$24   \$2,611   \$37,891   \$73,771   \$136,389     N	IL		\$38.00	411			\$88,776	\$150,001
Lake Forest   \$32.10   245   \$0   \$6,174   \$35,574   \$150,001     Lake Forest   \$41.17   \$22   \$3,117   \$29,757   \$56,397   \$125,000     L. Oak Brook Village   \$35.13   151   \$0   \$9,298   \$27,416   \$150,001     N. Carmel   \$41.19   \$61   \$871   \$8,191   \$15,511   \$150,001     N. Indianapolis   \$39.40   162   \$0   \$18,274   \$37,714   \$102,611     N. Indianapolis   \$38.23   352   \$0   \$34,764   \$77,004   \$100,294     KS Olathe   \$51.49   106   \$14,615   \$27,335   \$40,055   \$103,263     KS Overland Park (7), Oxford (48)   \$54.53   \$55   \$9,590   \$16,190   \$22,790   \$130,125     KY Glenview Hills   \$31.17   400   \$0   \$5,616   \$53,616   \$108,677     LA East Baton Rouge   \$33.78   300   \$0   \$24,408   \$60,408   \$95,518     LA New Orleans   \$27.98   223   \$0   \$0   \$21,033   \$104,704     LA New Orleans   \$27.98   223   \$0   \$0   \$21,033   \$104,704     LA New Orleans   \$28.06   142   \$0   \$0   \$13,734   \$96,518     LA Shreveport   \$29.02   209   \$0   \$0   \$22,622   \$95,804     MA Dover   \$40.94   549   \$8,193   \$72,073   \$137,953   \$104,977     MA Dover   \$42.35   251   \$7,078   \$37,198   \$67,318   \$103,320     MA Harvard   \$47,63   399   \$35,617   \$82,297   \$128,977   \$100,415     MA Lincoln   \$40,42   367   \$1,850   \$45,890   \$89,803   \$106,581     MA Southborough   \$52.98   262   \$40,809   \$72,249   \$103,689   \$98,635     MA Weston   \$49.84   193   \$22,789   \$45,949   \$69,109   \$125,615     MD Clarksville   \$45.56   56   \$3,736   \$10,456   \$17,176   \$150,001     MD Clarksville   \$45.56   56   \$3,736   \$10,456   \$17,176   \$150,001     MD Clarksville   \$45.56   56   \$3,736   \$10,460   \$37,820   \$115,612     MD N. Potomac   \$30.16   1,867   \$0   \$33,585   \$227,625   \$150,001     MD Potomac   \$30.16   1,867   \$0   \$39,729   \$45,900   \$113,588     MI Bloomfield   \$46.53   108   \$8,463   \$21,423   \$34,333   \$150,001     MI Bloomfield   \$46.53   108   \$8,463   \$21,423   \$34,333   \$150,001     MI Bloomfield   \$46.53   108   \$8,463   \$21,1423   \$34,333   \$150,001     MI Bloomfield   \$46.53   108   \$8,463   \$21,1423   \$34	IL		\$37.47	295	\$0			
Carmel	IL	Lake Forest	\$32.10	245		\$6,174		
N   Carmel   \$41.19   61   \$871   \$8,191   \$15,511   \$150,001     N   Indianapolis   \$39.40   162   \$0   \$18,274   \$37,714   \$102,611     N   Indianapolis   \$38.23   352   \$0   \$34,784   \$77,004   \$100,294     KS   Olathe   \$51.49   108   \$14,815   \$27,335   \$40,055   \$103,283     KS   Overland Park (7), Oxford (48)   \$54.53   55   \$9,590   \$16,190   \$22,790   \$130,125     KY   Glerview Hills   \$31.17   400   \$0   \$5,616   \$53,616   \$108,877     LA   East Baton Rouge   \$38.78   300   \$0   \$24,408   \$60,408   \$95,518     LA   New Orleans   \$27.88   223   \$0   \$0   \$21,033   \$104,704     LA   New Orleans   \$28.06   142   \$0   \$0   \$13,734   \$98,518     LA   New Orleans   \$28.06   142   \$0   \$0   \$13,734   \$98,518     LA   Shreveport   \$29.02   209   \$0   \$0   \$22,22   \$95,804     MA   Dover   \$40.94   549   \$6,193   \$772,073   \$137,953   \$104,977     MA   Dover   \$442.35   251   \$7,078   \$37,198   \$67,318   \$103,320     MA   Harvard   \$47.63   389   \$35,617   \$82,297   \$128,977   \$100,415     MA   Lincoln   \$40.42   367   \$1,850   \$45,890   \$89,930   \$105,611     MA   Southborough   \$52.98   262   \$40,809   \$72,248   \$103,689   \$98,635     MA   Weston   \$448.84   193   \$22,789   \$45,949   \$69,109   \$125,415     MD   Clarksville   \$36.33   193   \$0   \$14,660   \$37,820   \$115,812     MD   N. Potomac   \$30.16   1,867   \$0   \$37,825   \$150,001     MD   Potomac   \$33.77   \$440   \$0   \$19,908   \$72,275   \$150,001     MD   Potomac   \$33.77   \$440   \$0   \$19,908   \$72,708   \$145,888     MI   Bloomfield   \$36.97   475   \$0   \$37,829   \$96,729   \$150,001     MI   Bloomfield   \$36.97   475   \$0   \$37,829   \$34,383   \$150,001     MI   Bloomfield   \$36.97   475   \$0   \$37,829   \$315,0001     MI   Bloomfield   \$46.53   108   \$8,463   \$21,423   \$34,383   \$150,001     MI   Bloomfield   \$46.53   108   \$8,463   \$21,423   \$34,383   \$150,001     MI   Grosse Point Shores Village   \$40.74   294   \$2,611   \$37,891   \$73,171   \$136,369	IL	Lake Forest	\$41.17	222	\$3,117	\$29,757	\$56,397	\$125,000
Indianapolis   \$39.40   162   \$0   \$18,274   \$37,714   \$102,611   Indianapolis   \$33.23   352   \$0   \$34,764   \$77,004   \$100,294   \$85.00   \$34,764   \$77,004   \$100,294   \$85.00   \$85.458   \$85	IL	Oak Brook Village	\$35.13	151	\$0	\$9,296	\$27,416	\$150,001
Indianapolis   \$39.40   162   \$0   \$18,274   \$37,714   \$102,611   Indianapolis   \$33.23   352   \$0   \$34,764   \$77,004   \$100,294   \$85.00   \$34,764   \$77,004   \$100,294   \$85.00   \$85.458   \$85								
Indianapolis   \$38.23   352   \$0   \$34,764   \$77,004   \$100,294	IN							
KS Olathe \$51.49 108 \$14,815 \$27,335 \$40,055 \$103,263 KS Overland Park (7), Oxford (48) \$54.53 55 \$9,590 \$16,190 \$22,790 \$130,125 KY Glenview Hills \$31.17 400 \$0 \$5,616 \$53,616 \$108,877 LA East Baton Rouge \$36.78 300 \$0 \$24,408 \$60,408 \$95,518 LA New Orleans \$27.86 223 \$0 \$0 \$21,033 \$104,704 LA New Orleans \$22.60 142 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$13,734 \$98,518 LA Shreveport \$29.02 209 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$22,622 \$95,804 LA Shreveport \$29.02 209 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$22,622 \$95,804 LA Olover \$40.94 549 \$6,193 \$72,073 \$137,953 \$104,977 MA Dover \$42.35 251 \$7,078 \$37,198 \$67,318 \$103,320 MA Harvard \$47.63 389 \$35,617 \$82,297 \$128,977 \$100,415 MA Lincoln \$40.42 367 \$1,850 \$45,890 \$89,930 \$108,561 MA Southborough \$52.98 262 \$40,809 \$72,249 \$103,689 \$98,635 MA Weston \$49.84 193 \$22,789 \$45,949 \$89,109 \$125,415 MD Clarksville \$36.33 193 \$0 \$14,660 \$37,820 \$115,812 MD N. Potomac \$33.16 1,867 \$0 \$33,585 \$227,625 \$150,001 MD Potomac \$33.17 440 \$0 \$19,908 \$72,708 \$143,588 MI Bloomfield \$46.53 108 \$8,463 \$21,423 \$34,383 \$150,001 MI Bloomfield \$46.59 108 \$40.74 294 \$2,611 \$37,891 \$73,171 \$136,369	IN							
KS         Overland Park (7), Oxford (48)         \$54.53         55         \$9,590         \$16,190         \$22,790         \$130,125           KY         Glenview Hills         \$31.17         400         \$0         \$5,616         \$53,616         \$108,877           LA         East Baton Rouge         \$36.78         300         \$0         \$24,408         \$60,408         \$95,518           LA         New Orleans         \$27.88         223         \$0         \$0         \$21,033         \$104,704           LA         New Orleans         \$28.06         142         \$0         \$0         \$13,734         \$98,518           LA         Shreveport         \$29.02         209         \$0         \$0         \$22,622         \$95,804           MA         Dover         \$40.94         549         \$6,193         \$72,073         \$137,953         \$104,977           MA         Dover         \$42.35         251         \$7,078         \$37,198         \$67,318         \$103,320           MA         Harvard         \$47.63         389         \$35,617         \$82,297         \$128,977         \$100,415           MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890	IN	Indianapolis	\$38.23	352	\$0	\$34,764	\$77,004	\$100,294
KS         Overland Park (7), Oxford (48)         \$54.53         55         \$9,590         \$16,190         \$22,790         \$130,125           KY         Glenview Hills         \$31.17         400         \$0         \$5,616         \$53,616         \$108,877           LA         East Baton Rouge         \$36.78         300         \$0         \$24,408         \$60,408         \$95,518           LA         New Orleans         \$27.88         223         \$0         \$0         \$21,033         \$104,704           LA         New Orleans         \$28.06         142         \$0         \$0         \$13,734         \$98,518           LA         Shreveport         \$29.02         209         \$0         \$0         \$22,622         \$95,804           MA         Dover         \$40.94         549         \$6,193         \$72,073         \$137,953         \$104,977           MA         Dover         \$42.35         251         \$7,078         \$37,198         \$67,318         \$103,320           MA         Harvard         \$47.63         389         \$35,617         \$82,297         \$128,977         \$100,415           MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890								
Signature   Sign								
LA East Baton Rouge \$36.78 300 \$0 \$24,408 \$60,408 \$95,518 LA New Orleans \$27.86 223 \$0 \$0 \$21,033 \$104,704 LA New Orleans \$28.06 142 \$0 \$0 \$13,734 \$98,518 LA Shreveport \$29.02 209 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$22,622 \$95,804 LA Shreveport \$29.02 209 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$22,622 \$95,804 LA Shreveport \$29.02 209 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$22,622 \$95,804 LA Shreveport \$40.94 549 \$6,193 \$72,073 \$137,953 \$104,977 LA Dover \$40.94 549 \$6,193 \$72,073 \$137,953 \$104,977 LA Dover \$42.35 251 \$7,078 \$37,198 \$67,318 \$103,320 LA Lincoln \$40.42 367 \$1,850 \$45,890 \$99,303 \$108,561 LA Lincoln \$40.42 367 \$1,850 \$45,890 \$99,930 \$108,561 LA LINCOLN \$40.42 \$40,800 \$10,450	KS	Overland Park (7), Oxford (48)	\$54.53	55	\$9,590	\$16,190	\$22,790	\$130,125
LA East Baton Rouge \$36.78 300 \$0 \$24,408 \$60,408 \$95,518 LA New Orleans \$27.86 223 \$0 \$0 \$21,033 \$104,704 LA New Orleans \$28.06 142 \$0 \$0 \$13,734 \$98,518 LA Shreveport \$29.02 209 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$22,622 \$95,804 LA Shreveport \$29.02 209 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$22,622 \$95,804 LA Shreveport \$29.02 209 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$22,622 \$95,804 LA Shreveport \$40.94 549 \$6,193 \$72,073 \$137,953 \$104,977 LA Dover \$40.94 549 \$6,193 \$72,073 \$137,953 \$104,977 LA Dover \$42.35 251 \$7,078 \$37,198 \$67,318 \$103,320 LA Lincoln \$40.42 367 \$1,850 \$45,890 \$99,303 \$108,561 LA Lincoln \$40.42 367 \$1,850 \$45,890 \$99,930 \$108,561 LA LINCOLN \$40.42 \$40,800 \$10,450	101		204.47	400		25.040	250.040	0400 077
LA         New Orleans         \$27.88         223         \$0         \$0         \$21,033         \$104,704           LA         New Orleans         \$28.06         142         \$0         \$0         \$13,734         \$98,518           LA         Shreveport         \$29.02         209         \$0         \$0         \$22,622         \$95,804           MA         Dover         \$40.94         549         \$6,193         \$72,073         \$137,953         \$104,977           MA         Dover         \$42.35         251         \$7,078         \$37,198         \$67,318         \$103,320           MA         Harvard         \$47.63         389         \$35,617         \$82,297         \$128,977         \$100,415           MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890         \$89,930         \$108,561           MA         Southborough         \$52.98         262         \$40,809         \$72,249         \$103,689         \$98,635           MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820	KY	Glenview Hills	\$31.17	400	\$0	\$5,618	\$53,616	\$108,877
LA         New Orleans         \$27.88         223         \$0         \$0         \$21,033         \$104,704           LA         New Orleans         \$28.06         142         \$0         \$0         \$13,734         \$98,518           LA         Shreveport         \$29.02         209         \$0         \$0         \$22,622         \$95,804           MA         Dover         \$40.94         549         \$6,193         \$72,073         \$137,953         \$104,977           MA         Dover         \$42.35         251         \$7,078         \$37,198         \$67,318         \$103,320           MA         Harvard         \$47.63         389         \$35,617         \$82,297         \$128,977         \$100,415           MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890         \$89,930         \$108,561           MA         Southborough         \$52.98         262         \$40,809         \$72,249         \$103,689         \$98,635           MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820	I A	Fort Bates Bayes	\$26.70	300	- 60	\$24.400	\$60,409	COE 540
LA         New Orleans         \$28.06         142         \$0         \$0         \$13,734         \$98,518           LA         Shreveport         \$29.02         209         \$0         \$0         \$22,622         \$95,804           MA         Dover         \$40.94         549         \$6,193         \$72,073         \$137,953         \$104,977           MA         Dover         \$42.35         251         \$7,078         \$37,198         \$67,318         \$103,320           MA         Harvard         \$47.63         389         \$35,617         \$82,297         \$128,977         \$100,415           MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890         \$89,930         \$108,561           MA         Southborough         \$52.98         262         \$40,809         \$72,249         \$103,689         \$98,635           MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$45.56         56         \$3,736         \$10,456         \$17,176         \$150,001           MD         Clarksville         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820<								
LA         Shreveport         \$29.02         209         \$0         \$0         \$22,622         \$95,804           MA         Dover         \$40.94         549         \$6,193         \$72,073         \$137,953         \$104,977           MA         Dover         \$42.35         251         \$7,078         \$37,198         \$67,318         \$103,320           MA         Harvard         \$47.63         389         \$35,617         \$82,297         \$128,977         \$100,415           MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890         \$89,930         \$108,561           MA         Southborough         \$52.98         262         \$40,809         \$72,249         \$103,689         \$98,635           MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$45.56         56         \$3,736         \$10,456         \$17,176         \$150,001           MD         Clarksville         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820         \$115,812           MD         Potomac         \$33.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,34							\$21,033	
MA         Dover         \$40.94         549         \$6,193         \$72,073         \$137,953         \$104,977           MA         Dover         \$42.35         251         \$7,078         \$37,198         \$67,318         \$103,320           MA         Harvard         \$47.63         389         \$35,617         \$82,297         \$128,977         \$100,415           MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890         \$89,930         \$108,561           MA         Southborough         \$52.98         262         \$40,809         \$72,249         \$103,689         \$98,635           MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$45.56         56         \$3,736         \$10,456         \$17,176         \$150,001           MD         N. Potomac         \$38.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,345         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33.77         440         \$0         \$19,908         \$7								
MA         Dover         \$42.35         251         \$7,078         \$37,198         \$67,318         \$103,320           MA         Harvard         \$47.63         389         \$35,617         \$82,297         \$128,977         \$100,415           MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890         \$89,930         \$108,561           MA         Southborough         \$52.98         262         \$40,809         \$72,249         \$103,689         \$98,635           MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$45.56         56         \$3,736         \$10,456         \$17,176         \$150,001           MD         N. Potomac         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820         \$115,812           MD         N. Potomac         \$38.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,345         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$30.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33,77         440         \$0         \$19,906         \$7		Othersport .	723.02	209		90	<b>422,022</b>	400,004
MA         Dover         \$42.35         251         \$7,078         \$37,198         \$67,318         \$103,320           MA         Harvard         \$47.63         389         \$35,617         \$82,297         \$128,977         \$100,415           MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890         \$89,930         \$108,561           MA         Southborough         \$52.98         262         \$40,809         \$72,249         \$103,689         \$98,635           MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$45.56         56         \$3,736         \$10,456         \$17,176         \$150,001           MD         N. Potomac         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820         \$115,812           MD         N. Potomac         \$38.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,345         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$30.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33,77         440         \$0         \$19,906         \$7	MA	Dover	\$40.94	540	\$6 193	\$72 073	\$137.953	\$104.977
MA         Harvard         \$47.63         389         \$35,617         \$82,297         \$128,977         \$100,415           MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890         \$89,930         \$108,561           MA         Southborough         \$52.98         262         \$40,809         \$72,249         \$103,689         \$98,635           MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$45.56         56         \$3,736         \$10,456         \$17,176         \$150,001           MD         Clarksville         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820         \$115,812           MD         N. Potomac         \$38.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,345         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$30.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33.77         440         \$0         \$19,908         \$72,706         \$143,588           MI         Bloomfield         \$46.53         108         \$8,463         \$21,423								
MA         Lincoln         \$40.42         367         \$1,850         \$45,890         \$89,930         \$108,561           MA         Southborough         \$52.98         262         \$40,809         \$72,249         \$103,689         \$98,635           MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$45,56         56         \$3,736         \$10,456         \$17,176         \$150,001           MD         Clarksville         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820         \$115,812           MD         N. Potomac         \$38.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,345         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$30.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33.77         440         \$0         \$19,906         \$72,706         \$143,588           MI         Bloomfield         \$46.53         108         \$8,463         \$21,423         \$34,383         \$150,001           MI         Grosse Point Shores Village         \$40.74         294         \$2,611         \$37								
MA         Southborough         \$52.98         262         \$40,809         \$72,249         \$103,689         \$98,635           MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$45.56         56         \$3,736         \$10,456         \$17,176         \$150,001           MD         Clarksville         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820         \$115,812           MD         N. Potomac         \$38.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,345         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$30.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33.77         440         \$0         \$19,908         \$72,706         \$143,588           MI         Bloomfield         \$36.97         475         \$0         \$39,729         \$96,729         \$150,001           MI         Bloomfield         \$46.53         108         \$8,463         \$21,423         \$34,383         \$150,001           MI         Grosse Point Shores Village         \$40.74         294         \$2,611         \$37,	MA							
MA         Weston         \$49.84         193         \$22,789         \$45,949         \$69,109         \$125,415           MD         Clarksville         \$45.56         56         \$3,736         \$10,456         \$17,176         \$150,001           MD         Clarksville         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820         \$115,812           MD         N. Potomac         \$38.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,345         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$30.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33.77         440         \$0         \$19,908         \$72,706         \$143,588           MI         Bloomfield         \$36.97         475         \$0         \$39,729         \$96,729         \$150,001           MI         Bloomfield         \$46.53         108         \$8,463         \$21,423         \$34,383         \$150,001           MI         Grosse Point Shores Village         \$40.74         294         \$2,611         \$37,891         \$73,171         \$136,369	MA							
MD Clarksville \$45.56 56 \$3,736 \$10,456 \$17,176 \$150,001 MD Clarksville \$36.33 193 \$0 \$14,660 \$37,820 \$115,812 MD N. Potomac \$38.22 276 \$0 \$27,225 \$60,345 \$150,001 MD Potomac \$30.16 1,867 \$0 \$3,585 \$227,625 \$150,001 MD Potomac \$33.77 440 \$0 \$19,908 \$72,706 \$143,588 MI Bloomfield \$36.97 475 \$0 \$39,729 \$96,729 \$150,001 MI Bloomfield \$46.53 108 \$8,463 \$21,423 \$34,383 \$150,001 MI Grosse Point Shores Village \$40.74 294 \$2,611 \$37,891 \$73,171 \$136,369	MA							
MD         Clarksville         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820         \$115,812           MD         N. Potomac         \$38.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,345         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$30.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33.77         440         \$0         \$19,906         \$72,706         \$143,588           MI         Bloomfield         \$36.97         475         \$0         \$39,729         \$96,729         \$150,001           MI         Bloomfield         \$46.53         108         \$8,463         \$21,423         \$34,383         \$150,001           MI         Grosse Point Shores Village         \$40.74         294         \$2,611         \$37,891         \$73,171         \$136,369								
MD         Clarksville         \$36.33         193         \$0         \$14,660         \$37,820         \$115,812           MD         N. Potomac         \$38.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,345         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$30.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33.77         440         \$0         \$19,906         \$72,706         \$143,588           MI         Bloomfield         \$36.97         475         \$0         \$39,729         \$96,729         \$150,001           MI         Bloomfield         \$46.53         108         \$8,463         \$21,423         \$34,383         \$150,001           MI         Grosse Point Shores Village         \$40.74         294         \$2,611         \$37,891         \$73,171         \$136,369	MD	Clarksville	\$45.56	56	\$3,736	\$10,456	\$17,176	\$150,001
MD         N. Potomac         \$38.22         276         \$0         \$27,225         \$60,345         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$30.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33.77         440         \$0         \$19,908         \$72,706         \$143,588           MI         Bloomfield         \$36.97         475         \$0         \$39,729         \$96,729         \$150,001           MI         Bloomfield         \$46.53         108         \$8,463         \$21,423         \$34,383         \$150,001           MI         Grosse Point Shores Village         \$40.74         294         \$2,611         \$37,891         \$73,171         \$136,369	MD							
MD         Potomac         \$30.16         1,867         \$0         \$3,585         \$227,625         \$150,001           MD         Potomac         \$33.77         440         \$0         \$19,906         \$72,706         \$143,588           MI         Bloomfield         \$36.97         475         \$0         \$39,729         \$96,729         \$150,001           MI         Bloomfield         \$46.53         108         \$8,463         \$21,423         \$34,383         \$150,001           MI         Grosse Point Shores Village         \$40.74         294         \$2,611         \$37,891         \$73,171         \$136,369	MD					\$27,225	\$60,345	\$150,001
MI Bloomfield \$36.97 475 \$0 \$39,729 \$96,729 \$150,001  MI Bloomfield \$46.53 108 \$8,463 \$21,423 \$34,383 \$150,001  MI Grosse Point Shores Village \$40.74 294 \$2,611 \$37,891 \$73,171 \$136,369	MD		\$30.16	1,867	\$0	\$3,585	\$227,625	\$150,001
MI         Bloomfield         \$46.53         108         \$8,463         \$21,423         \$34,383         \$150,001           MI         Grosse Point Shores Village         \$40.74         294         \$2,611         \$37,891         \$73,171         \$136,369	MD	Potomac		440	\$0	\$19,906	\$72,706	\$143,588
MI         Bloomfield         \$46.53         108         \$8,463         \$21,423         \$34,383         \$150,001           MI         Grosse Point Shores Village         \$40.74         294         \$2,611         \$37,891         \$73,171         \$136,369								
MI Grosse Point Shores Village \$40.74 294 \$2,611 \$37,891 \$73,171 \$136,369	МІ							
	МІ							
MI Grosse Pointe Farms \$42.97 139 \$4,954 \$21,634 \$38,314 \$150,001	MI							
	МІ	Grosse Pointe Farms	\$42.97	139	\$4,954	\$21,634	\$38,314	\$150,001

#### USF Support for Selected High Cost, High Income CBGs

State	Town	<b>Monthly Cost</b>	# HHs	\$40 support	\$30 support	\$20 support	Income
MN	North Oaks	\$31.66	454		\$9,044	\$63,524	\$125,660
MN	Rochester	\$47.68	152	\$14,008	\$32,248		\$123,572
MN	Rochester	\$53.06	251	\$39,337	\$69,457	\$99,577	\$103,286
МО	Ladue	\$37.63	180	\$0	\$16,481		\$117,296
МО	Riverside	\$95.03	13	\$8,585	\$10,145	\$11,705	\$150,001
NC	Charlotte	\$37.66	79	\$0	\$7,262		\$134,410
NC	Charlotte	\$42.49	55	\$1,643	\$8,243	\$14,843	\$127,293
NE	McArdle	\$37.70	119	\$0	\$10,996	\$25.278	\$150,001
INE	WCA CIB	\$37.70	119	40	\$10,950	\$25,216	\$130,001
NJ	Kinnelon	\$63.21	204	\$56,818	\$81,298	\$105.778	\$127,885
NJ	Kinnelon	\$70.50	498	\$182,268	\$242,028		\$111,006
NJ	Medford	\$62.95	23	\$6,334	\$9,094		\$150,001
NJ	Mendham	\$54.06	172	\$29,020	\$49,660		\$150,001
NJ	Rumson	\$41.69	176	\$3,569	\$24,689	\$45,809	\$150,001
NM	Albuquerque	\$29.56	458	\$0	\$0	\$52,542	
NM	Albuquerque	\$31.95	453	\$0	\$10,600	\$64,960	\$88,273
NM	Los Alamos	\$78.69	529	\$245,604	\$309,084	\$372,564	\$81,282
NM	Sandia Hts. (81), Albuquerque (25)	`\$58.54	106	\$23,583	\$36,303	\$49,023	\$85,963
		200.00	475		400.000	044.000	201010
NV	Reno-Sparks	\$39.63	175	\$0	\$20,223	\$41,223	\$94,342
NY	Bedford	\$47.01	315	\$26,498	\$64,298	\$102,098	\$150,001
NY	Bedford	\$51.11	389	\$51,861	\$98,541	\$102,090	
NY	Mt. Pleasant	\$57.75	193		\$64,269		\$108,732
NY	New Castle	\$47.71	167	\$15,451	\$35,491		\$116,167
NY	New Castle	\$58.71	66	\$14,818	\$22,738		\$109,563
NY	North Castle	\$54.40	694	\$119,923	\$203,203	\$286,483	
NY	Pound Ridge	\$45.54	351	\$23,334	\$65,454		
NY	Pound Ridge	\$57.17	349	\$71,908	\$113,788	\$155,668	
NY	Rye	\$45.91	159	\$11,276	\$30,356		\$150,001
NY	Rye	\$40.72	187	\$1,616	\$24,056		\$108,725
NY	Scarsdale	\$40.61	241	\$1,764	\$30,684	\$59,604	\$119,342
<u> </u>		212.25		20.472			
ОН	Bexley	\$43.87	176	\$8,173	\$29,293		\$150,001
ОН	Hunting Valley Village	\$56.16 \$51.26	255	\$49,450	\$80,050 \$1,786		
он ОН	Madison	\$39.99	7 127	\$946 \$0	\$1,786 \$15,225		\$127,308 \$150,001
ОН	Shaker Heights The Village of Indian Hill	\$41.98	162	\$3,849	\$23,289		\$150,001
<del>                                      </del>	The Village of Indian Hill (589), Sycamore	φ <del>4</del> 1.30	102	\$5,049	\$23,209	Ψ <del>-</del> -2,123	\$150,001
ОН	(213)	\$38.29	802	\$0	\$79,783	\$176,023	\$148 752
<del>                                      </del>	(213)	Ψ30.29	002	40	\$13,703	<b>\$170,023</b>	ψ140,102
ок	Edmond	\$41.26	363	\$5,489	\$49,049	\$92,609	\$99,059
OK	Tulsa	\$45.15	49	\$3,028	\$8,908	\$14,788	
OK	Tulsa	\$34.46	287	\$0	\$15,360	\$49,800	\$97,483
OR	Portland	\$34.87	394	\$0	\$23,025		\$105,991
OR	Portland	\$31.35	369	\$0	\$5,978	\$50,258	\$91,295
PA	Derry	\$96.70	7	\$4,763	\$5,603		\$150,001
PA	Fox Chapel	\$32.64	552		\$17,487		\$123,339
PA	McCandless	\$38.96	170		\$18,278		\$137,012
PA	Pennsbury	\$35.58	92		\$6,160		\$101,299
PA	Wycombe	\$89.84	11	\$6,579	\$7,899	\$9,219	\$150,001

### USF Support for Selected High Cost, High Income CBGs

State	Town	Monthly Cost	# HHs	\$40 support	\$30 support	\$20 support	Income
RI	Barrington	\$32.23	370	\$0	\$9,901	\$54,301	\$90,023
RI	Providence	\$35.37	220	\$0	\$14,177	\$40,577	
RI	Providence	\$37.30	373	\$0	\$32,675	\$77,435	\$96,432
RI	Providence	\$33.10	200	\$0	\$7,440	\$31,440	\$96,432
SC	Hilton Head Island	\$34.74	41	\$0	\$2,332	\$7,252	\$118,422
SC	Pontiac .	\$38.46	219	\$0	\$22,233		\$100,240
TN	Forest Hills (233), Oakhill (8)	\$40.75	241	\$2,169	\$31,089	\$60,009	\$106,765
TN	Germantown	\$31.07	461	\$0	\$5,919	\$61,239	\$94,998
TN	Germantown (843), Memphis (23)	\$30.29	866		\$3,014	\$106,934	\$97,785
TN	Germantown (560), Memphis (23)	\$33.77	583	\$0	\$26,375	\$96,335	\$87,389
	Nashville-Davidson (150), Forest Hills						
TN	(116)	\$37.79	266	\$0	\$24,866	\$56,786	\$123,582
TX	Corpus Christi	\$40.85	98	\$1,000	\$12,760		\$126,113
TX	Dallas	\$29.09	301	\$0	\$0		\$150,001
TX	Houston	\$30.13	115		\$179		\$150,001
TX	Hunters Creek Village	\$35.93	203	\$0	\$14,445		\$138,210
TX	San Antonio	\$35.93	201	\$0	\$14,303		\$150,001
TX	San Antonio	\$38.73	224	\$0	\$23,466		\$130,003
TX	Tyler	\$35.02	17	\$0	\$1,024	\$3,064	\$150,001
UT	Cottonwood Hts. (267), Holladay (35)	\$37.15	302	\$0	\$25,912	\$62,152	\$99,212
	O	040.07	400	045 400	600.000	0447.400	0440 700
VA	Great Falls	\$42.97	426	\$15,183	\$66,303	\$117,423	
VA	McLean	\$32.09	51	\$0	\$1,279	\$7,399	
VA	McLean	\$34.15	599	\$0	\$29,830	\$101,710	\$126,101
	McLean (88), Great Falls (457),	20470	240		205 000	0400 400	0404 000
VA	Dranesville (73)	\$34.76	618	\$0	\$35,300	\$109,460	\$121,209
VA_	Springfield	\$47.55	223	\$20,204	\$46,964	\$73,724	\$106,461
VA	Springfield	\$41.98	83	\$1,972	\$11,932	\$21,892	\$105,138
	East Seattle (225), Bellevue (37),	<del></del>	-				
\A/A	1	\$26.01	271	\$0	\$10 E4E	\$52,065	\$402.40E
WA WA	Eastgate (9) Medina	\$36.01 \$43.52	150		\$19,545 \$24,336	\$42,336	\$103,405 \$94,096
WA	<u></u>	\$40.58	111	\$773	\$14,093		\$89,540
WA	Mercer Island Seattle	\$31.57	188	\$0	\$3,542		\$135,080
WA	Seattle	\$32.29	302	\$0	\$8,299		\$110,746
WA	Seattle	\$32.29	302	30	\$0,299	<b>\$44,009</b>	\$110,740
WI	Bayside (35), Mequon (589)	\$33.27	624	\$0	\$24,486	\$00 366	\$108,494
wi	River Hills	\$26.18	567	\$0	\$0		\$110,712
Wi	Whitefish Bay	\$28.36	398	\$0	\$0	\$39,927	\$99,477
<del> '''</del> -	TYTIKONSII Day	Ψ20.30	333	Ψ0	40	<b>403,321</b>	400,411
WY	Casper North	\$213.95	2	\$4,175	\$4,415	\$4,655	\$102,264
WY	Douglas	\$210.74	14	\$28,684	\$30,364		\$125,889
WY	Gillette South	\$208.58	3	\$6,069	\$6,429		\$102,264
WY	Gillette South	\$205.44	12	\$23,823	\$25,263	\$26,703	\$84,511
WY	Kaycee	\$205.47	1	\$1,986	\$2,106		\$150,001
WY	Kaycee	\$213.43	10	\$20,812	\$22,012	\$23,212	\$102,264
<del>'                                    </del>	,	<del></del>		<del></del>		<u> </u>	1 1
Source	es: BCM2, 1990 Census of Population an	d Housina Summ	ary Tac	e File 3A			
				لسنتسا			

## Appendix B

# STATE-SPECIFIC ANALYSIS

r	Total Support for	Total Support for	% Difference	Total Support for	% Difference	Total Support for	% Difference
State	100% CBGs *	Bottom 90%	(100%-90%)/100%		(100%-70%)/100%		(100%-50%)/100%
Alabama							
\$40 benchmark	\$108,269,744	\$105,590,367	2.5%	\$86,467,581	20.1%	\$55,705,736	48.5%
\$30 benchmark	\$198,562,895	\$189,287,545	4.7%	\$149,404,052	24.8%	\$94,459,607	52.4%
\$20 benchmark	\$348,469,876	\$318,552,809	8.6%	\$241,572,100	30.7%	\$153,954,788	55.8%
HH Income	\$23,597	\$36,097		\$26,012		\$21,379	<u> </u>
Alaska							
\$40 benchmark	\$27,791,223	\$25,869,293	6.9%	\$21,833,781	21.4%	\$16,628,316	40,2%
\$30 benchmark	\$38,993,835	\$35,803,695	8.2%	\$28,950,612	25.8%	\$21,492,325	44.9%
\$20 benchmark	\$57,550,955	\$51,976,327	9.7%	\$40,559,980	29.5%	\$29,093,549	49,4%
HH Income	\$41,408	\$60,000		\$47,083		\$39,583	
Arizona							
\$40 benchmark	\$86,565,140	\$82,788,550	4.4%	\$75,579,402	12.7%	\$62,376,600	27.9%
\$30 benchmark	\$127,398,841	\$119,146,275	6.5%	\$104,423,144	18.0%	\$82,583,791	35.2%
\$20 benchmark	\$243,042,550	\$222,724,431	8.4%	\$180,959,939	25.5%	\$133,814,650	44.9%
HH Income	\$27,540	\$48,750		\$33,906		\$26,128	<del></del>
Arkansas	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<u> </u>				<del> </del>
\$40 benchmark	\$113,799,749	\$110,397,032	3.0%	\$89,488,916	21.4%	\$58,940,981	48.2%
\$30 benchmark	\$175,545,100	\$167,472,363	4.6%	\$132,497,319	24.5%	\$86,416,728	50.8%
\$20 benchmark	\$265,795,537	\$248,043,004	7.4%	\$189,193,505	28.8%	\$123,486,069	53.5%
HH Income	\$21,147	\$31,029		\$23,382		\$19,537	
California							
\$40 benchmark	\$142,588,890	\$136,801,937	4.1%	\$122,692,308	14.0%	\$98,210,865	31.1%
\$30 benchmark	\$281,163,643	\$255,705,981	9.1%	\$210,424,512	25.2%	\$160,533,831	42.9%
\$20 benchmark	\$882,564,449 \$35,798	\$773,961,221 \$61,228	12.3%	\$572,975,245 \$43,750	35.1%	\$391,072,920 \$34,583	55.7%
HH income	\$35,786	\$01,220		\$45,750		334,363	
Colorado		<del> </del>					
\$40 benchmark	\$71,726,168	\$67,880,706	5.4%	\$56,328,819	21.5%	\$38,850,830	45.8%
\$30 benchmark	\$111,565,611	\$102,633,281	8.0%	\$81,659,968	26.8%	\$54,862,360	50.8%
\$20 benchmark	\$216,517,631	\$194,598,740	10.1%	\$146,649,650	32.3%	\$95,899,015	55.7%
HH Income	\$30,140	\$50,000		\$35,809		\$27,122	
Connecticut							
\$40 benchmark	\$30,760,236	\$27,843,412	9.5%	\$18,705,975	39.2%	\$8,850,541	71.2%
\$30 benchmark	\$69,893,084	\$59,872,418	14.3%	\$38,792,185	44.5%	\$18,927,128	72.9%
\$20 benchmark	\$167,163,841	\$145,671,694	12.9%	\$100,569,127	39.8%	\$56,741,090 \$42,344	66.1%
HH Income	\$41,721	\$66,401	<u> </u>	\$51,101		142,344	
Delaware	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	<del></del>				
\$40 benchmark	\$5,477,012	\$5,477,012	0.0%	\$4,958,275	9.5%	\$3,984,527	27.2%
\$30 benchmark	\$13,902,700	\$13,640,268	1.9%	\$12,011,939	13.6%	\$9,120,332	34.4%
\$20 benchmark	\$34,971,797	\$32,675,316	6.6%	\$26,501,788	24.2%	\$18,463,844	47.2%
HH Income	\$34,875	\$52,554		\$39,175		\$31,836	
DC							
\$40 benchmark	\$10,877		0.0%	\$10,877	0.0%	\$10,877	0.0%
\$30 benchmark	\$336,514 \$3,870,145		12.7%	\$280,330 \$2,939,981	16.7% 24.0%	\$240,967 \$2,227,164	28.4% 42.5%
\$20 benchmark HH Income	\$3,870,145 \$30,727		14.1%	\$2,939,961 \$42,292	24.078	\$2,227,164 \$31,312	42.578
- II I III COILIE	450,721	\$00,734	<del> </del>	472,292		401,012	
Florida							
\$40 benchmark	\$98,309,431	\$92,542,043	5.9%	\$78,051,672	20.6%	\$54,026,338	45.0%
\$30 benchmark	\$238,882,332	\$217,543,509	8.9%	\$171,026,180	28.4%	\$113,839,855	52.3%
\$20 benchmark	\$691,549,942		10.9%	\$450,140,339	34.9%	\$286,882,492	58.5%
HH Income	\$27,483	\$43,618		\$31,358		\$25,476	
	<u> </u>						
Georgia	6418 705 655	0447005040	ļ	9400 400 00		470 0 /0 TT	AT 70/
\$40 benchmark	\$118,725,982		1.2%	\$106,123,974	10.6%	\$73,946,865	37.7% 44.9%
\$30 benchmark \$20 benchmark	\$225,229,959 \$442,093,403		3.2% 7.1%	\$185,614,824 \$321,234,143	17.6% 27.3%	\$124,100,682 \$208,386,285	52.9%
HH Income	\$442,093,403	\$410,614,143	7.170	\$321,234,143	21,3%	\$25,478	32.378
THE RECOVER	#45,U21		t	#32,230		<b>J43,4/0</b>	·

	Total Support for	Total Support for	% Difference	Total Support for	% Difference	Total Support for	% Difference
State	100% CBGs *	Bottom 90%	(100%-90%)/100%		(100%-70%)/100%	Bottom 50%	(100%-50%V100%
Hawaii							
\$40 benchmark	\$12,303,412	\$12,044,175	2.1%	\$11,279,216	8.3%	\$8,938,137	27.4%
\$30 benchmark	\$22,693,811	\$21,674,565	4.5%	\$19,141,719	15.7%	\$14,150,848	37.6%
\$20 benchmark	\$51,291,616	\$46,317,775	9.7%	\$36,303,998	29.2%	\$25,554,663	50.2%
HH Income	\$38,829	\$60,782		\$45,764		\$38,082	
						ļ	
Idaho	840 047 900	\$47,092,159	4.0%	\$37,759,597	23.0%	624 702 640	40.69
\$40 benchmark \$30 benchmark	\$49,047,890 \$67,793,723	\$64,023,742	5.6%	\$50,832,427	25.0%	\$24,793,610 \$32,684,459	49.5% 51.8%
\$20 benchmark	\$101,014,177	\$92,642,161	8.3%	\$72,034,928	28.7%	\$46,434,617	54.0%
HH Income	\$25,257	\$37,396		\$28,125		\$23,958	
	75555	721,222				230,000	
Illinois						<u> </u>	
\$40 benchmark	\$122,421,435	\$120,752,361	1.4%	\$108,863,692	11.1%	\$80,601,001	34.2%
\$30 benchmark	\$228,954,576	\$218,107,954	4.7%	\$184,877,996	19.3%	\$132,668,659	42.1%
\$20 benchmark	\$528,026,002	\$481,598,695	8.8%	\$373,940,439	29.2%	\$255,952,129	51.5%
HH Income	\$32,252	\$53,587	<u></u>	\$38,281		\$30,637	
					<u> </u>		
Indiana				400			
\$40 benchmark	\$94,865,121	\$88,287,710	6.9%	\$60,392,160	36.3%	\$33,228,419	65.0%
\$30 benchmark	\$185,030,110	\$167,684,194	9.4%	\$113,477,704	38.7%	\$63,075,851	65.9%
\$20 benchmark	\$368,748,293	\$324,580,367	12.0%	\$224,537,993	39.1%	\$134,375,945	63.6%
HH income	\$28,797	\$41,930		\$32,292	<del> </del>	\$27,361	
l		<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<u> </u>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
lowa	\$97,944,083	\$94,474,730	3.5%	\$75,531,382	22.9%	\$49,267,813	49.7%
\$40 benchmark \$30 benchmark	\$155,771,649	\$148,030,861	5.0%	\$117,272,897	24.7%	\$77,806,742	50.1%
\$20 benchmark	\$253,959,119	\$235,101,678	7.4%	\$183,269,997	27.8%	\$122,342,739	51.8%
HH Income	\$26,229	\$37,714	1.7%	\$29,219	2	\$25,323	0
1311100110	720,230	451,111		V		V	
Kansas							
\$40 benchmark	\$93,776,223	\$90,772,029	3.2%	\$70,628,391	24.7%	\$48,092,739	48.7%
\$30 benchmark	\$135,528,850	\$128,677,550	5.1%	\$98,567,995	27.3%	\$87,064,787	50.5%
\$20 benchmark	\$216,661,281	\$198,241,586	8.5%	\$147,434,214	32.0%	\$98,838,408	54.4%
HH income	\$27,291	\$41,250		\$30,000		\$24,464	
Kentucky							
\$40 benchmark	\$109,247,643	\$106,611,840	2.4%	\$92,220,015	15.6%	\$69,535,849	36.4%
\$30 benchmark	\$192,062,787	\$184,056,167	4.2%	\$154,652,791	19.5%	\$114,143,418	40.6%
\$20 benchmark	\$323,873,103	\$300,196,917	7.3%	\$242,804,703	25.0%	\$173,890,367	46.3%
HH income	\$22,534	\$36,450		\$26,389	<u> </u>	\$20,833	
Louisiana	<del> </del>		ļ		<del> </del>		
Louisiana \$40 benchmark	\$85,405,060	\$84,690,032	2.0%	\$72,727,842	15.8%	\$46,076,718	46.7%
\$30 benchmark	\$159,803,823	\$152,243,100	4.7%	\$124,499,182	22.1%	\$78,523,856	50.9%
\$20 benchmark	\$302,844,210	\$277,542,910	8.4%	\$215,351,240	28.9%	\$136,545,887	54.9%
HH Income	\$21,949	\$37,446		\$25,921		\$20,096	
				<u> </u>			
Maine							
\$40 benchmark	\$83,273,866	\$77,194,773	7.3%	\$61,719,817	25.9%		46.1%
\$30 benchmark	\$119,192,822	\$109,259,535	8.3%		28.1%	\$61,217,844	48.6%
\$20 benchmark	\$166,243,367		8.9%	\$117,017,157	29.6%	\$82,116,465	50.6%
HH Income	\$27,854	\$39,792		\$31,469		\$27,326	ļ
		<u> </u>					
Maryland				AAA 45- 5:5	ļ	<u> </u>	
\$40 benchmark	\$23,251,531	\$22,860,473	1.7%	\$20,170,042	13.3%		33.5%
\$30 benchmark	\$57,229,901				24.5%		
\$20 benchmark HH Income	\$169,320,456 \$39,386		9.6%	\$112,731,589 \$46,707	33.4%	\$70,965,284 \$37,011	
in moome	\$39,300	*00,380	<del></del>	\$40,707		<del>33</del> 7,011	<del> </del>
Massachusetts		<del> </del>	<del> </del>				
\$40 benchmark	\$34,183,623	\$30,856,083	9.7%	\$22,452,411	34.3%	\$11,836,661	65.4%
\$30 benchmark	\$86,074,470		14.1%				
\$20 benchmark	\$232,987,722		13.7%		41.1%		
HH Income	\$36,952			\$44,432	L	\$36,875	
Michigan							
\$40 benchmark	\$133,039,135		2.2%		17.4%	\$81,984,025	
\$30 benchmark	\$273,337,536		5.3%				47.3%
\$20 benchmark	\$586,650,242		8.5%		30.0%	\$274,800,265	
HH Income	\$31,020	\$50,138		\$36,607	L	\$29,265	

	Total Support for	Total Support for	% Difference	Total Support for	% Difference	Total Support for	% Difference
State	100% CBGs *	Bottom 90%	(100%-90%)/100%		(100%-70%)100%		(100%-50%)/100%
Minnesota							
\$40 benchmark	\$125,519,746	\$124,006,168	1.2%	\$114,743,408	8.6%	\$87,825,843	30.0%
\$30 benchmark	\$192,788,716	\$187,646,156	2.7%	\$168,474,499	13.6%	\$124,241,450	35.6%
\$20 benchmark	\$329,231,659	\$308,291,331	6.4%	\$253,399,823	23.0%	\$182,518,926	44.6%
HH Income	\$30,909	\$48,750		\$35,282		\$28,038	
Mississippi	400 740 700	400.007.000			10.00		
\$40 benchmark	\$92,713,783	\$89,987,899	2.9%	\$75,324,097	18.8%	\$51,932,598	44.0%
\$30 benchmark	\$157,912,848	\$149,651,058	5.2%	\$121,885,589	22.8%	\$82,448,821	47.8%
\$20 benchmark HH Income	\$253,971,695 \$20,136	\$234,493,387 \$33,125	7.7%	\$186,111,878 \$23,194	28.7%	\$126,135,225 \$18,920	50.3%
1 11 1 III COINE	920,130	400,125		420,104		\$10,920	
Missouri					·····	<del></del>	
\$40 benchmark	\$175,081,457	\$172,514,535	1.5%	\$151,478,675	13.5%	\$108,563,900	38.0%
\$30 benchmark	\$256,866,861	\$249,315,074	2.9%	\$212,068,172	17.4%	\$149,705,764	41.7%
\$20 benchmark	\$423,818,132	\$391,240,470	7.7%	\$312,841,063	26.2%	\$216,068,718	49.0%
HH Income	\$28,362	\$41,027		\$29,228		\$22,679	
Montana							
\$40 benchmark	\$55,338,185	\$50,958,921	7.9%	\$39,833,923	28.0%	\$27,335,944	50.6%
\$30 benchmark	\$72,177,350	\$66,169,948	8.3%	\$50,898,687	29.5%	\$34,222,707	52.6%
\$20 benchmark	\$99,429,580	\$90,163,247	9.3%	\$68,333,776	31.3%	\$45,188,978	54.6%
HH income	\$22,988	\$35,000		\$26,750	·	\$22,135	
	ļ	ļ					
Nebraska	974 445 004	470 040 000	4 70/	467.040.040	40.00	044400040	
\$40 benchmark	\$71,445,601	\$70,249,030 \$96,409,092	1.7% 3.0%	\$57,910,010 \$78,488,365	18.9%	\$41,198,819	42.3%
\$30 benchmark \$20 benchmark	\$99,355,252 \$149,255,436	\$139,449,430	6.6%	\$110,340,278	21.0% 26.1%	\$55,727,021 \$77,076,289	43.9%
HH income	\$26,016	\$39,769	0.070	\$28,438	20.170	\$23,750	48.4%
FIFT INCOME	\$20,010	\$59,709		920,400		\$23,750	
Nevada					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
\$40 benchmark	\$34,196,875	\$32,222,047	5.8%	\$26,893,125	21.4%	\$19,538,804	42.9%
\$30 benchmark	\$47,574,874	\$44,157,121	7.2%	\$35,088,855	26.2%	\$24,637,007	48.2%
\$20 benchmark	\$83,727,699	\$77,672,376	7.2%	\$59,151,907	29.4%	\$39,822,845	52.4%
HH Income	\$31,011	\$50,498		\$38,659		\$31,023	
New Hampshire							
\$40 benchmark	\$38,727,493	\$36,156,715	6.6%	\$28,218,719	27.1%	\$16,636,050	57.0%
\$30 benchmark	\$65,434,007	\$59,411,365	9.2%	\$44,744,226	31.6%	\$28,860,215	55.9%
\$20 benchmark	\$106,138,535	\$94,723,041	10.8%	\$70,122,850	33.9%	\$44,863,394	57.7%
HH Income	\$36,329	<b>\$</b> 52,177		\$40,417		\$34,375	
<u></u>							
New Jersey	£47.202.000	848 222 244	6.60	640 078 442	36.8%	\$5 777 000	66.7%
\$40 benchmark	\$17,362,688 \$60,829,712	\$16,223,341 \$54,873,352	6.6%	\$10,976,443 \$36,642,883	39.8%	\$5,777,982 \$20,061,778	67.0%
\$30 benchmark \$20 benchmark	\$233,915,933	\$206,902,505	11.5%	\$143,244,508	38.8%	\$86,513,583	63.0%
HH Income	\$40,927	\$68,043	11.5%	\$50,305	30.0 %	\$40,363	33.0 %
. a i niconire	\$70,321	\$00,043		-J.U.,J.U.S		4-0,303	
New Mexico	<del> </del>						
\$40 benchmark	\$65,674,198	\$63,073,967	4.0%	\$53,661,471	18.3%	\$41,588,961	36.7%
\$30 benchmark	\$88,829,008	\$84,080,997	5.3%	\$69,902,719	21.3%	\$52,731,102	40.6%
\$20 benchmark	\$135,968,308	\$125,241,825	7.9%	\$100,139,007	26.4%	\$71,898,392	47.1%
HH Income	\$24,087	\$39,896		\$27,321		\$21,463	
New York							
\$40 benchmark	\$166,623,794	\$163,102,380	2.1%	\$151,936,672	8.8%	\$115,217,851	30.9%
\$30 benchmark	\$307,167,667	\$292,269,169	4.9%	\$255,691,016	16.8%	\$181,425,594	40.9%
\$20 benchmark	\$659,610,412	\$601,666,244	8.8%	\$474,148,364	28.1%	\$316,300,649	52.0%
HH Income	\$32,965	\$58,827		\$42,000		\$32,292	
Namb Carella							
North Carolina	\$142 000 004	6130 013 465	1.64	8447 949 049	47.60	604 E44 700	40.5%
\$40 benchmark \$30 benchmark	\$142,022,304 \$282,980,936	\$139,812,182 \$271,445,356	1.6% 4.1%	\$117,842,042 \$216,274,808	17.0% 23.6%	\$84,514,709 \$148,799,552	47.4%
\$20 benchmark	\$529,685,378	\$488,467,059	7.8%	\$372,759,555	29.6%	\$251,830,093	52.5%
HH income	\$26,647	\$40,257	7.078	\$372,739,533 \$29,850	25.078	\$25,062	32.3 70
THE INCOME.	340,047	340,431	L	349,000		140,004	

	Total Support for	Total Support for	% Difference	Total Support for	% Difference	Total Support for	% Difference
State	100% CBGs *	Bottom 90%	(100%-90%)/100%		(100%-70%)/100%		(100%-50%)/100%
North Dakota	687 124 120	050 740 700	7.70	6.40.700.000	00.70	\$20,007,044	
\$40 benchmark	\$57,124,436 \$70,790,328	\$52,749,783 \$64,832,043	7.7%	\$40,702,308 \$50,405,243	28.7%	\$29,267,941	48.8%
\$30 benchmark \$20 benchmark			8.4%	\$64,617,956	28.8%	\$36,173,375	48.9%
HH Income	\$92,077,432 \$23,213	\$83,042,027 \$33,534	9.8%	\$25,825	29.8%	\$45,852,234 \$21,591	50.2%
Ohio	6408 300 008	2104 464 404	2.40	400 000 405	20.48	0.47.055.000	
\$40 benchmark \$30 benchmark	\$128,393,296 \$272,185,011	\$124,464,191 \$254,910,124	3.1% 6.3%	\$90,993,485 \$182,806,970	29.1%	\$47,255,869	63.2%
\$20 benchmark	\$814,504,598	\$551,939,009	10,2%	\$393,651,819	32.8% 35.9%	\$97,643,260 \$227,060,678	64.1%
HH Income	\$28,708	\$43,854	10.2 %	\$33,113	33.3%	\$27,188	63.0%
Okiahoma	6100 004 047	207 175 244	2.00	677 007 200	20.40	250 470 000	10.00
\$40 benchmark	\$100,984,247	\$97,175,241	3.8%	\$77,387,369	23.4%	\$52,178,889	48.3%
\$30 benchmark \$20 benchmark	\$158,858,469 \$267,259,957	\$150,239,913 \$244,439,341	5.4% 8.5%	\$117,406,471 \$184,563,748	26.1%	\$78,970,826	50.3%
HH Income	\$207,239,937	\$37,917	0.379	\$26,818	30.9%	\$123,368,880 \$21,333	53.8%
THE IIICOMP	723,377	457,517		\$20,010		421,333	
Oregon							
\$40 benchmark	\$77,502,634	\$74,468,504	3.9%	\$60,656,911	21.7%	\$42,022,874	45.8%
\$30 benchmark	\$119,637,078	\$112,071,803	6.3%	\$87,342,513	27.0%	\$59,088,440	50.6%
\$20 benchmark	\$216,925,875	\$196,290,456	9.5%	\$148,591,534	32.4%	\$97,833,205	55.0%
HH Income	\$27,250	\$40,369		\$30,683		\$25,500	
Pennsylvania							
\$40 benchmark	\$163,593,183	\$161,735,508	1.1%	\$140,441,827	14.2%	\$99,357,855	39.3%
\$30 benchmark	\$301,994,936	\$291,026,075	3.6%	\$236,166,621	21.8%	\$158,661,874	47.5%
\$20 benchmark	\$612,775,392	\$557,932,048	8.9%	\$421,795,962	31.2%	\$275,782,389	55.0%
HH Income	\$29,069	\$44,556		\$32,857		\$26,908	
Rhode Island	<del> </del>	<del></del>					
\$40 benchmark	\$6,773,314	\$5,709,094	15.7%	\$2,704,906	60.1%	\$408,418	94.0%
\$30 benchmark	\$15,697,779	\$12,913,667	17.7%	\$6,365,144	59.5%	\$1,789,650	88.6%
\$20 benchmark	\$43,928,435	\$37,439,372	14.8%	\$22,651,037	48.4%	\$11,111,673	74.7%
HH Income	\$32,181	\$48,937		\$38,047		\$32,344	
S. Carolina							
\$40 benchmark	\$81,374,752	\$79,859,400	1.9%	\$69,773,460	14.3%	\$49,453,270	39.2%
\$30 benchmark	\$152,970,263	\$146,702,315	4.1%	\$121,373,608	20.7%	\$82,873,632	45.8%
\$20 benchmark	\$279,168,065	\$259,309,606	7.1%	\$203,200,964	27.2%	\$135,637,576	51.4%
HH Income	\$26,258	\$40,921		\$30,068		\$24,659	
S. Dakota							
\$40 benchmark	\$52,449,770	\$49,080,400	6.4%	\$38,474,592	26.6%	\$27,093,580	48.3%
\$30 benchmark	\$69,560,205	\$64,696,508	7.0%	\$50,385,200	27.6%	\$35,540,457	48.9%
\$20 benchmark	\$93,631,437	\$85,567,574	8.6%	\$65,437,378	30.1%	\$46,205,582	50.7%
HH income	\$22,503	\$32,009		\$24,406		\$21,028	
Tennessee							
\$40 benchmark	\$113,374,821	\$110,026,017	3.0%	\$93,680,417	17.4%	\$63,225,035	44.2%
\$30 benchmark	\$214,160,251	\$202,523,389	5.4%	\$163,984,815	23.4%	\$108,537,054	49.3%
\$20 benchmark	\$391,293,772	\$358,799,780	8.3%	\$277,007,527	29.2%	\$181,929,528	53.5%
HH Income	\$24,807	\$39,861		\$28,125		\$22,708	
Texas							
\$40 benchmark	\$272,533,671	\$269,453,788	1.1%	\$235,680,718	13.5%	\$157,627,714	42.2%
\$30 benchmark	\$464,134,553	\$447,839,704	3.5%	\$372,965,280	19.6%	\$245,034,783	47.2%
\$20 benchmark	\$965,509,384	\$891,069,787	7.7%	\$691,340,558	28.4%	\$450,580,488	53.3%
HH Income	\$27,016	\$48,214		\$31,827		\$24,333	
Utah							
\$40 benchmark	\$32,825,938	\$31,423,462	4.3%	\$26,966,791	17.8%	\$21,222,410	35.3%
\$30 benchmark	\$47,672,399	\$44,711,790	6.2%	\$36,641,951	23.1%	\$27,476,772	42.4%
\$20 benchmark	\$90,499,294	\$82,189,321	9.2%	\$63,636,313	29.7%	\$44,327,961	51.0%
HH Income	\$29,470		3.2 A	\$34,412	20.1 70	\$28,150	
	1 423,710	, 477,512	<u> </u>	#J71,41Z	<u> </u>	420,130	

	Total Support for	Total Support for	% Difference	Total Support for			% Difference
State	100% CBGs *	Bottom 90%	(100%-90%)100%		(100%-70%)/100%		(100%-50%)/100%
Vermont		-	<b> </b>				<del> </del>
\$40 benchmark	\$35,858,893	\$32,685,777	8.8%	\$24,752,762	31.0%	\$16,816,312	53.1%
\$30 benchmark	\$51,951,872	\$46,883,995	9.8%	\$34,940,868	32.7%	\$23,580,297	54.6%
\$20 benchmark	\$72,293,239	\$64,524,458	10.7%	\$47,692,436	34.0%	\$32,286,178	55.3%
HH Income	\$29,792	\$40,625	10.1 %	\$32,436	54.5%	\$28,687	33.5%
Virginia							
\$40 benchmark	\$99,618,917	\$98,929,941	0.7%	\$88,177,839	11.5%	\$66,910,433	32.8%
\$30 benchmark	\$188,054,501	\$183,948,384	2.2%	\$157,874,688	18.0%	\$115,073,395	38.8%
\$20 benchmark	\$377,184,292	\$352,557,139	6.5%	\$280,475,018	25.6%	\$194,133,913	48.5%
HH income	\$33,328	\$57,273		\$37,467		\$28,250	
Washington							
\$40 benchmark	\$76,625,619	\$75,378,447	1.6%	\$87,485,025	11.9%	\$52,213,427	31.9%
\$30 benchmark	\$131,124,036	\$125,492,230	4.3%	\$106,923,569	18.5%	\$77,505,072	40.9%
\$20 benchmark	\$279,458,573	\$255,546,319	8.6%	\$201,634,397	27.8%	\$137,178,995	50.9%
HH Income	\$31,183	\$47,574		\$36,719		\$30,515	
W. Virginia							
\$40 benchmark	\$96,501,878	\$93,716,019	2.9%	\$80,700,189	16.4%	\$60,928,788	36.9%
\$30 benchmark	\$145,860,348	\$139,234,319	4.5%	\$116,636,074	20.0%	\$86,007,793	41.0%
\$20 benchmark	\$214,204,712	\$200,089,520	6.6%	\$163,064,767	23.9%	\$117,928,734	44.9%
HH Income	\$20,795	\$31,354		\$23,750		\$19,907	
Wisconsin							
\$40 benchmark	\$107,453,939	\$104,539,244		\$89,461,090	16.7%	\$67,391,924	37.3%
\$30 benchmark	\$187,460,245	\$176,408,539	5.9%	\$142,686,775	23.9%	\$102,579,273	45.3%
\$20 benchmark	\$343,209,336	\$312,838,320	8.8%	\$240,846,022	29.8%	\$166,029,408	51.6%
HH Income	\$29,442	\$43,375		\$33,250		\$28,113	-
Wyoming							
\$40 benchmark	\$27,183,736	\$24,692,380	9.2%	\$17,248,588	36.5%	\$11,553,327	57.5%
\$30 benchmark	\$35,529,658	\$32,099,703	9.7%	\$21,908,201	38.3%	\$14,497,327	59.2%
\$20 benchmark	\$50,298,544	\$45,096,994	10.3%	\$30,377,360	39.6%	\$19,642,193	60.9%
HH Income	\$27,096	\$41,442		\$30,441		\$24,635	<del> </del>
Entire US:							
\$40 benchmark	\$4,258,662,622	\$4,122,592,060	3.2%	\$3,477,992,715	18.3%	\$2,451,285,341	42.4%
\$30 benchmark	\$7,424,505,733	\$7,012,037,730	5.6%	\$5,658,661,455	23.8%	\$3,860,898,446	48.0%
\$20 benchmark	\$14,664,182,818	\$13,352,047,237	8.9%	\$10,195,898,803	30.5%	\$6,763,365,941	53.9%
	income at the 100%						
At the 90%, 70%,	and 50% levels, the	household income is	the highest income	in that bracket.			<del> </del>
Sources: BCM2,	1990 Census of Pop	ulation and Housing	Summary Tape File	3A			